

Butler, David

From: Butler, David
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 9:41 AM
To: Fox, Peg; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Bateman, Andrew; Rebecca J. Dulin; Heather Smith; Rebecca J. Dulin; Heather Smith; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger; Jamey Goldin google; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; Bowen, John; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott; eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams
Subject: RE: [External] RE: Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

OK. Thanks Peg.
 David B

From: Fox, Peg <PFox@burr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 9:38 AM
To: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Rebecca J. Dulin <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Heather Smith <heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; Rebecca J. Dulin <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Heather Smith <heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Jamey Goldin google <jameygoldin@google.com>; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; Bowen, John <JBowen@burr.com>; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott <sellott@elliottlaw.us>; eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>
Subject: [External] RE: Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

David,

While Lockhart Power Company is an interested party in the generic sense (i.e., it is a party to the docket and has an interest in the proceeding), Lockhart Power has not been one of the "Interested Parties" that have joined in certain filings in this docket. Lockhart intends to continue to participate in the proceeding in the same manner, including participating along with other stakeholders in the meetings that have been and will be held to discuss Interconnection Procedures. Thanks,

Peg



AL • DE • FL • GA
 MS • NC • SC • TN

Margaret M. Fox • *Counsel*

1221 Main St., Suite 1800, Columbia, South Carolina 29201

main 803-799-9800 • fax 803-933-1515

pfox@burr.com • www.burr.com

360 Attorneys. 19 Offices. 1 Firm. Southeastern Strong.

The information contained in this email is intended for the individual or entity above. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, use, forward or disclose this communication to others; also, please notify the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this message from your system. Thank you.

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:08 PM

To: Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Rebecca J. Dulin <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Heather Smith <heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; Rebecca J. Dulin <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Heather Smith <heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Jamey Goldin google <jameygoldin@google.com>; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; Fox, Peg <PFox@burr.com>; Bowen, John <JBowen@burr.com>; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott <selliott@elliottlaw.us>; eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>

Subject: Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

To the Parties:

The Commission is in receipt of a letter dated November 30, 2020, from Counsel from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC. The letter describes activities of the parties under the two-phase plan approved by the Commission in Order No. 2020-660. The letter describes a category of parties deemed the "Interested Parties." This group does not apparently include all parties in the Docket. A question has been raised as to whether the parties not included in the "Interested Parties" category will be joining that category or not. Obviously, I am not seeking specific information that may be confidential, but would be interested to know if there are any overall general opinions on the subject.

Thanks in advance for your consideration in this matter.

Regards,

David Butler

Special Counsel

Public Service Commission of South Carolina